

100-18

**ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP**

Date

14 JAN 1986

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)	Initials	Date
1. DIRECTOR OF SECURITY		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Action <i>Paras 4+6</i>	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

## REMARKS

*Pls prepare response to DDCI (paras 4 + 6) via DDA.*

*Suspense: 17 Jan 86*

*Thank You*

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

	Room No.—Bldg.
	Phone No.

RM 41 (Rev. 7-76)

\* U.S.G.P.O.: 1983-421-529/320

Prescribed by GSA  
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

*This was delivered to SDO/Hqs for hand delivery first thing Wed Jan 15. Alerted DD/OS secretary to this requirement.*

STAT

**SECRET****Executive Registry**

86- 0117

13 January 1986

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: DCI/DDCI Meeting with Secretary and Deputy Secretary  
of Defense, 10 January 1986

1.

2. We raised our concern over the DoD position on polygraphs. We pointed out to the Secretary that the Defense representative on the Security Committee indicated that, per a DOD Directive, the polygraph may not be used in Defense for leaks. The Secretary was all for the use of the polygraph for leaks and thought that the directive was prompted by Congressional legislation. At any rate, they will check. The Director summarized his conversation with Secretary Shultz, noting that Shultz was agreeable to selective use of the polygraph.

3.

4. Coming back to the polygraph, Weinberger said he would be happy to receive a briefing on the polygraph program and how it is used.  
(Action: Director of Security)

5.

John N. McMahon

## Distribution:

**DDA** - Paras 2, 4 and 6**SECRET**